

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMES HINES,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2385 GEB PAN P

12 vs.

13 SERGEANT NUCKLE, et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 _____/
16 By an order filed August 20, 2004, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and
17 return to the court, within ninety days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on
18 defendant Roberts. On February 3, 2006, plaintiff was granted an additional sixty days in which
19 to provide said documents. That sixty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not
20 responded in any way to the court's order.

21 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendant
22 Roberts be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
24 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within
25 twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
26 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
3 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 DATED: April 13, 2006.

5
6 
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 /001; hine2385.fus
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26